

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	JING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/461,061	09/461,061 12/15/1999		KEITH R. MCCRAE	6056-260	3290
23973	7590	03/15/2002	•		
DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH ONE LOGAN SQUARE 18TH AND CHERRY STREETS				EXAMINE	ER
				ROBINSON	, HOPE A
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-6996		19103-6996		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1653	17
				DATE MAILED: 03/15/2002	1/

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. **09/461,061**

Hope Robinson

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Art Unit 1653

McCrae

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
Period for Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic	
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days	, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will
be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory	period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this
communication Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by	statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
 Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	e mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jul 13, 20	001
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This act	tion is non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 12-49</u>	is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
8) X Claims 1-8 and 12-49	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are	objected to by the Examiner.
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ disapproved.
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
a) \square All b) \square Some* c) \square None of:	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	ve been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have	ve been received in Application No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority dapplication from the International Bure *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	
Attachment(s)	
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) X Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Other:
	•

10

15

20

25

Election/Restriction

- 1. It is noted that applicant elected Invention I (claims 1-8 and 12-24) in Paper No. 16. It is also noted that applicant has added new claims 46-49 which read on the elected invention. However, a further restriction is required as the present application encompasses compositions comprising several patentably distinct peptides.
 - 2. A telephone call was made to the applicant's attorney Mr. Daniel Monaco on March 13, 2002 to request an oral election to the below restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made. A written restriction is being submitted and applicant is required to make an election to prosecute the claims.
 - 3. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-8, 12-24 and 46-48 (SEQ ID NO: 1-4) are drawn to a pharmaceutical composition, classified in class 514, subclass 12+, classified in class 435, subclass 6.
 - II. Claims 1-8, 12-24 and 46-48 (SEQ ID NO: 5) are drawn to a pharmaceutical composition, classified in class 514, subclass 12+, classified in class 435, subclass 6.
 - III. Claims 1-8, 12-24 and 46-48 (SEQ ID NO: 9, 11, 13-17, 19-20,22) are drawn to a pharmaceutical composition, classified in class 514, subclass 12+, classified in class 435, subclass 6.

5

10

20

25

- IV. Claims 25 and 27-45 (SEQ ID NO: 2, 3), are drawn to a method of inhibiting endothelial cell proliferation, classified in class 435, subclass 70.1.
- V. Claims 25 and 27-45 (SEQ ID NO: 9, 10, 11, 15, 16, 20), are drawn to a method of inhibiting endothelial cell proliferation, classified in class 435, subclass 70.1.
- VI. Claims 25 and 27-45 (SEQ ID NO: 5-7), are drawn to a method of inhibiting endothelial cell proliferation, classified in class 435, subclass 70.1.
- VII. Claim 26 is drawn to a method of inducing endothelial cell apoptosis, classified in class 435, subclass 7.21.
- 4. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I-VII are related as the composition of Inventions I-III are used in the methods of Invention IV-VII. However, the Inventions are patentably distinct because the methods of Inventions IV-VI and VII are alternative methods of using the composition as Inventions I-III encompasses a method of using the composition with a different end point. In addition, Inventions I-VII encompasses several patentably distinct peptides which are physically and structurally different, thus, differ in function (see the sequence listing). For example on page 6 of the specification it is disclosed that SEQ ID NO: 5 has substantial amino acid homology to SEQ ID NO: 8 which distinguishes Invention II. Therefore, if any one of Inventions I to VII is elected, the claims will only be examined with respect to the elected sequences.

Inventions IV-VI and Invention VII are patentably distinct because the

5

10

15

20

25

methods have different endpoints which are opposed.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventor-ship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventor-ship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Hope A. Robinson whose telephone number is (703)308-6231. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday _ Friday from 9:00 A.M. to 5:30 P.M. (EST).

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor Christopher S.F. Low, can be reached at (703)308-2923.

Any inquiries of a general nature relating to this application should be directed to the Group Receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0196.

Papers related to this application may be submitted by facsimile transmission. The official fax phone number for Technology Center 1600 is (703) 308-4242. Please affix the Examiner's name on a cover sheet attached to your communication should you choose to fax your response. The faxing of such

papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG (November 15, 1989).

5

Hope A. Robinson, MS

Patent Examiner

KAREN COCHRANE CARLSON, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER

Law Cachan Carlo Pro